

2700 Chandler Avenue, Building C Las Vegas, NV 89120

Tel: +1 702 795 0515 Fax: +1 702 795 8210

www.CBI.com

November 15, 2013

Dania Zinner USEPA; Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street (8EPR-SR) Denver, CO 80202-1129

Document ID #: 3019-11152013-5

Dear Ms. Zinner:

# EPA CONTRACT NUMBER EP-W-10-033 TASK ORDER NUMBER 3019 QA SUPPORT FOR THE LIBBY ASBESTOS SITE

Enclosed please find the Summary Asbestos On-site Audit Report for the on-site audit performed on August 28, 2013 at Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. in Sierra Madre, California. This report and the accompanying checklist are deliverables under Task 5 of the subject Task Order.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Timothy L. Vonnahme

Audit Group Manager, QATS Program

lemos Vormaline

CB&I Federal Services, LLC Phone: (702) 895-8729

E-Mail Address: timothy.vonnahme@cbifederalservices.com

cc: Administrative Contracting Officer (letter only)

**Audit Group Files** 





3019-11152013-5 Page 1 of 39

#### **REPORT**

#### **FOR**

## TASK ORDER NUMBER 2019 QUALITY ASSURANCE SUPPORT FOR THE LIBBY ASBESTOS SITE

#### **SUMMARY ASBESTOS ON-SITE AUDIT REPORT**

Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. (Sierra Madre, California)

#### Prepared by:

The Data Auditing Group
Quality Assurance Technical Support Program
CB&I Federal Services, LLC
2700 Chandler Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

November 14, 2013

**QATS Contract Number: EP-W-10-033** 

**Prepared for:** 

Dania Zinner Task Order Manager

Region 8
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202

3019-11152013-5 Page 2 of 39

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LABORATORY INFORMATION AND AUDIT SCOPE	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
AUDIT FINDINGS	5
Sample Receipt, Storage, Log-in, and Chain-of-Custody (COC)	
Indirect and Direct Preparation of Air Filter and Dust Samples	
Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Analysis	
Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) Analysis	
Data Management	
Quality Control and Quality Assurance (QA/QC)	
CORRECTIVE ACTION APPLIED FROM THE PREVIOUS AUDIT FINDINGS	
CONCLUSIONS	

#### **ATTACHMENT**

Libby-Specific Asbestos Laboratory On-site Audit Checklist (EPA Only)

3019-11152013-5 Page 3 of 39

#### LABORATORY INFORMATION AND AUDIT SCOPE

This report summarizes the results of an asbestos on-site laboratory audit of Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. in Sierra Madre, California performed on August 28, 2013. The audit was conducted in support of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 8 Libby Superfund Site activities. The purpose of the audit was to evaluate corrective actions taken by the laboratory to address deficiencies identified from the last on-site audit conducted on July 25-26, 2013. CB&I Federal Services, LLC Quality Assurance Technical Support (QATS) staff participation in the on-site audit and subsequent preparation of this report was performed under Task 5, Task Order 2019, QATS Contract EP-W-10-033.

Detailed information regarding the subject laboratory is as follows:

Date of On-site: August 28, 2013

Laboratory: Hygeia Laboratories, Inc.

82 West Sierra Madre Boulevard

Sierra Madre, CA 91024

(626) 355-4497

QA Officer: Kyeong Corbin

Audit Team

US EPA: Dania Zinner (by teleconference)

CB&I QATS: Michael Lenkauskas, CQA, Senior Auditor

The Audit Team, comprised of CB&I Federal Services, LLC QATS personnel, performed the technical and evidentiary aspects of the on-site audit. Due to unforeseen circumstances, a representative of the EPA was not able to attend but participated in the debriefing via conference call. The technical and evidentiary parts of the audit involved an evaluation of corrective actions taken by the laboratory to address the deficiencies identified during the previous on-site audit conducted on July 25-26, 2012.

The processes evaluated included sample receipt, sample storage, sample tracking, direct and indirect sample preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis, analysis by TEM, analysis by Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM), and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC). All pertinent laboratory instrumentation and equipment were inspected for proper maintenance and calibration, and laboratory personnel were interviewed to determine their understanding and adherence to laboratory procedures.

During the course of the audit, the applicable sections of the Libby-Specific Asbestos Laboratory On-site Audit Checklist were completed by the Audit Team. Sections of the checklist not completed during the audit are indicated with an "NA." The checklist is provided as an attachment to this report (EPA only).

3019-11152013-5 Page 4 of 39

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

An asbestos on-site audit of Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. in Sierra Madre, California was performed on August 28, 2013 in support of EPA Region 8 Libby Superfund Site activities. The primary focus of the audit was to evaluate the corrective actions taken by the laboratory to address the deficiencies identified during the previous on-site audit conducted on July 25-26, 2012. The laboratory areas and processes evaluated include sample receipt, sample storage, sample tracking, direct and indirect sample preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis, analysis by TEM, analysis by Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM), and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC).

The corrective actions applied by the laboratory to the 10 deficiencies identified in the July 2012 on-site audit were evaluated during the current on-site audit. The Audit Team determined that the laboratory had completely addressed all six deficiencies, for a corrective action rate of 100%.

The on-site audit identified two new deficiencies which are summarized below by laboratory area:

**Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) Analysis** – Two deficiencies were assessed for performing Laboratory Duplicate Cross-check (LDC) analyses on newly-prepared slides, rather than on the original slide mounts, and for failure to include all Libby Amphiboles (LAs), present as prismatic structures, in the amount of LA present in soil samples analyzed by the project-specific PLM-VE procedure.

With the exception of the deficiencies noted above, the on-site evaluation revealed that Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. to have sufficient facilities, equipment, and staff to analyze samples in accordance with the specified methodologies and Libby-specific protocol. All staff and management were cooperative, readily answered all questions asked by the Audit Team, and appeared to be responsive to the identified deficiencies.

3019-11152013-5 Page 5 of 39

#### **AUDIT FINDINGS**

#### Sample Receipt, Storage, Log-in, and Chain-of-Custody (COC)

The evaluation of this area focused on the one deficiency identified in the previous on-site audit, which was found to have been adequately addressed. No additional deficiencies were observed.

#### **Indirect and Direct Preparation of Air Filter and Dust Samples**

The evaluation of this area focused on the four (4) deficiencies identified in the previous on-site audit, all of which were found to have been adequately addressed. No additional deficiencies were observed.

#### Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Analysis

The evaluation of this area focused on the one deficiency identified in the previous on-site audit, which was found to have been adequately addressed. No additional deficiencies observed.

#### Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) Analysis

The evaluation of this area focused on two deficiencies identified in the previous audit, both of which have been addressed as described in the section "Corrective Action Applied from the Previous Audit Deficiencies" on Page 6 of this report. Two new deficiencies were identified:

- Laboratory Duplicate Cross-check (LDC) analyses are not currently performed as described in the project-specific PLM-VE SOP. The LDC analyses are performed on newly prepared slides, and not on the slides that were prepared by the original analyst for the original analysis. The requirement that LDC analyses be performed on the five required original slide preparations is described in Section 16.4.4 of the SOP for the Analysis of Asbestos Fibers in Fine Soil by PLM (SRC-Libby-03, Rev. 3). (Checklist Nos. 8.13.1.2 and 8.14.1)
  - **Recommended Corrective Action** Ensure that LDC analyses are performed on the five original slide mounts prepared by the original analyst for the original analysis.
- Libby Amphiboles (LAs) present as prismatic structures are not currently included in the assessment of the amount of LA present in soil samples analyzed by the project-specific PLM-VE procedure. The requirement that all Winchite, Richterite, Tremolite, Actinolite, Magnesio-arfvedsonite, and Magnesio-riebeckite observed in a sample be recorded as LA, regardless of habit observed (i.e., fibrous, straight, or prismatic), is described in Section 16.4.4 of the SOP for the Analysis of Asbestos Fibers in Fine Soil by PLM (SRC-Libby-03, Rev. 3). (Checklist Nos. 8.11.5.2.1, 8.11.6.2, and 8.14.1)

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that all Winchite, Richterite, Tremolite, Actinolite, Magnesio-arfvedsonite, and Magnesio-riebeckite observed in a sample be recorded as LA, regardless of habit observed.

#### **Data Management**

This area was not evaluated since there were no data management issues identified in the previous audit.

3019-11152013-5 Page 6 of 39

#### **Quality Control and Quality Assurance (QA/QC)**

The evaluation of this area focused on the two deficiencies identified in the previous on-site audit, both of which were found to have been adequately addressed. No additional deficiencies were observed.

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION APPLIED FROM THE PREVIOUS AUDIT FINDINGS

The on-site laboratory evaluation included an assessment of the ten deficiencies identified and reported in the previous on-site audit performed on July 25-26, 2012. The Audit Team determined that the laboratory had completely addressed all ten deficiencies (100.0%). The following are the deficiencies identified during the previous on-site audit, the laboratory's verbatim responses to the audit comments, and effectiveness checks performed during the current on-site audit.

#### Sample Receipt, Storage, Log-in, and Chain-of-Custody (COC)

1. An excerpt from an obsolete revision of the laboratory's QAM was present in the sample receiving area. A printed copy of Section 3.0, which describes the laboratory's sample receipt and login procedures from the QAM dated June 2007, was in the sample receiving area. The most recent revision of the laboratory's QAM is dated February 2011. The requirement that all quality management system documents be readily available where needed and obsolete documents promptly be removed is described in Section 8.1 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 4.6.1)

**Note:** The described excerpt was removed prior to the on-site audit debriefing on July 26, 2012.

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Although the obsolete document was removed from circulation, additional obsolete documents were identified in other areas of the laboratory, indicating this was not an isolated incident, but a symptom of the more general observation described in Finding No. 10 of this report.

Laboratory Response (10/02/2012): Please see item 10.

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

#### **Indirect and Direct Preparation of Air Filter and Dust Samples**

2. The project-specific SOP for indirect preparation of air and dust samples (EPA-Libby-08) present in the TEM sample preparation area was not the most recent revision available in the CDM eRoom. The SOP available in the TEM sample preparation area is dated November 2006. The most recent SOP available in the CDM eRoom is dated January 2007. The requirement that all quality management system documents be readily available where needed and obsolete documents promptly be removed is described in Section 8.1 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 6.5.2.2)

**Note:** The SOP revision dated November 2006 was replaced with the revision dated January 2007 prior to the on-site audit debriefing on July 26, 2012.

3019-11152013-5 Page 7 of 39

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Although the obsolete document was removed from circulation, additional obsolete documents were identified in other areas of the laboratory, indicating this was not an isolated incident, but a symptom of the more general observation described in Finding No. 10 of this report.

Laboratory Response (10/02/2012): Please see item 10.

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

3. The daily calibration of the analytical balance used to measure the initial weight of duff samples is not recorded in a balance-specific logbook. The daily calibrations performed on the Salter balance (Model No. 6055, Serial No. 2061228) from 06/12/2012 through 07/20/2012 are recorded on scrap paper and not in a controlled instrument-specific logbook. (Checklist Nos. 6.4.4.1 and 8.4.4.2)

**Note:** A pre-printed laboratory Analytical Balance Calibration logbook was created and used prior to the on-site audit debriefing on July 26, 2012.

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that all instrument calibration records are maintained in a permanent, traceable manner.

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** The analyst underwent a refresher training meeting to properly maintain all instrument calibration records. A copy of meeting attendance is included. (Attachment 1)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

4. The Effective Filtration Area (EFA) of the disposable filter assemblies used during indirect preparation are not determined for each lot of filters received but was assumed by the laboratory to be consistent. The requirement that supplies be checked for accuracy upon receipt is described in Section 5.4 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 6.4.7.2)

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that the EFA of disposable and reusable filtration assemblies are determined and that the EFA of disposable filter assemblies are determined for each lot received.

Laboratory Response (10/02/2012): The laboratory reevaluated the EFA of reusable filtration assemblies. A new batch of filtration assemblies recommended by the assessor was ordered and the EFA was measured for the lot received. The measurement record is included. (Attachment 2)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

5. The fume hood in the TEM sample preparation area sits on a bench top and does not have a brim or other hardware to prevent release of solvents, acids, or other spilled liquids. The requirement to provide the necessary equipment to handle the chemicals used by laboratory personnel is described in Section 17.1 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 6.3)

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that all fume hoods and other containment equipment is equipped with the necessary hardware to minimize the potential for release of toxic liquids and/or fumes.

3019-11152013-5 Page 8 of 39

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** A brim was installed on the fume hood in the TEM sample preparation area and the sides of the fume hood were sealed to prevent release of solvents, acids, or other spilled liquids as suggested.

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

### **Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) Analysis**

6. An updated set of project-specific laboratory modifications was not available at the time of the evaluation. The requirement that all supporting documents be readily available where needed and that obsolete documents be promptly removed is described in Section 8.1 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 7.3.2.1).

**Note:** A current set of project-specific laboratory modifications was printed and made available to applicable laboratory staff prior to the on-site audit debriefing on July 26, 2012.

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Although the obsolete documents were removed from circulation, additional obsolete documents were identified in other areas of the laboratory indicating this was not an isolated incident, but a symptom of the more general observation described in Finding No. 10 of this report.

Laboratory Response (10/02/2012): Please see item 10.

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

#### Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM) Analysis

7. Potential asbestos containing materials (ACMs) are handled outside of the fume (HEPA) hood during the PLM-Gravimetric procedure. The petri dish in which samples are weighed was not covered when transferred to the balance, which is located outside of the HEPA hood. The requirements for the safe handling of ACMs are described in Section 17.2 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 8.12.2)

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that all ACMs are handled within a fume hood and covered when removed for weighing and other activities.

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** The analysts underwent a refresher training meeting to properly handle ACM. A copy of meeting attendance is included. (Attachment 1)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

8. The Laboratory Duplicate Cross-check (LDC) analytical observations (i.e., optical properties) are currently recorded on the same bench sheet as the observations of the original (first) analysis and are, therefore, not "blind." The requirement that PLM intra-analyst analyses and LDCs remain blind to the Quality Control (QC) analyst is described in Section 8.2 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist No. 8.13.1.2)

3019-11152013-5 Page 9 of 39

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that LDC results are recorded on a separate bench sheet other than that used to record the original results and that the results from the original (first) analyses are not known to the individual performing the second QC analysis.

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** The LDC results are recorded on a separate bench sheet now. The latest QC analysis bench sheet is enclosed. (Attachment 3)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

#### **Data Management**

No deficiencies concerning data management were identified.

#### **Quality Control and Quality Assurance**

9. Air monitoring in the analytical laboratories is not always performed at the frequencies described in the laboratory's written procedures. Although quarterly monitoring is described in both the TEM and PLM technical manuals, samples have only been collected in the specified TEM areas five times since October 2010 and four times in the PLM areas since August 2008. The requirements for quarterly air monitoring in the TEM and PLM areas are described in Sections 9.1 and 8.1 of the TEM Technical Manual and PLM Technical Manual, respectively. (Checklist No. 10.6.2)

**Note:** Although the available data suggested air monitoring samples had not been collected in the PLM area from November 2009 through February 2012, Hygeia Management indicated some monitoring had been performed, but the supporting data had been misplaced.

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that air monitoring is performed as described in the laboratory's written procedures or project-specific requirements (i.e., LB-000085A), whichever is more frequent.

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** The analysts underwent a refresher training meeting to review the QAM requirements for air and wipe monitoring and the LB-000085A requirements for air monitoring. (Attachment 1)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

10. Although the document control deficiencies described above in Findings 1, 2 and 6 were corrected prior to the laboratory debriefing on July 26, 2012, the presence of obsolete documents in several laboratory areas indicates that these may not be isolated incidents but may reflect a more systemic document control issue. The requirement that all management system and supporting documents be readily available where needed and that obsolete documents be promptly removed is described in Section 8.1 of the laboratory's QAM. (Checklist Nos. 4.6.1, 6.5.2.2, and 7.3.2.1)

**Recommended Corrective Action** – Ensure that the document control procedures described in the laboratory's QAM are properly implemented throughout the laboratory.

**Laboratory Response (10/02/2012):** The laboratory decided to remove all paper trails and utilize quality documents electronically. All paper documents were removed from the work area and the latest QAM (quality manual), TM (technical manual), SOPs

3019-11152013-5 Page 10 of 39

(standard operating procedures) and project-specific SOPs (e.g. Lab Mods, EPA-Libby-08, etc.) were placed on the server under a folder called Quality Documents. It is the QAO's responsibility (for QAM and TMs) and each Laboratory Supervisor's (for SOPs and project-specific documents) to maintain the latest version on the server (i.e. upload the latest version and remove the older version). All personnel went over the new document control procedure. (Attachment 1)

Effectiveness Check (08/28/2013): This deficiency has been completely addressed.

#### CONCLUSIONS

An asbestos laboratory on-site audit of Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. in Sierra Madre, California was performed on August 28, 2013 in support of EPA Region 8 Libby Superfund Site activities. The primary focus of the audit involved an evaluation of corrective actions taken by the laboratory to address the deficiencies identified during the previous on-site audit conducted on July 25-26, 2012. The laboratory areas and process evaluated include sample receipt, sample storage, sample tracking, direct and indirect sample preparation for Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis, analysis by TEM, analysis by Polarized Light Microscopy (PLM), and Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC).

The Audit Team evaluated the corrective action applied to the 10 deficiencies identified in the previous on-site audit and determined that the laboratory completely addressed all 10 deficiencies, for a corrective action rate of 100%.

The on-site audit identified the following two new deficiencies:

- Laboratory Duplicate Cross-check (LDC) analyses are not currently performed as described in the project-specific PLM-VE SOP.
- Libby Amphiboles (LAs), present as prismatic structures, are not currently included in the amount of LA present in soil samples analyzed by the project-specific PLM-VE procedure.

With the exception of the two (2) deficiencies noted above and in the report, the on-site evaluation revealed Hygeia Laboratories, Inc. to have sufficient facilities, equipment, and staff to analyze samples in accordance with the specified methodologies and Libby-specific protocol. All staff and management were cooperative, readily answered all questions asked by the Audit Team, and appeared to be responsive to the identified deficiencies.

3019-11152013-5 Page 11 of 39

### **ATTACHMENT**

Libby-Specific Asbestos Laboratory On-site Audit Checklist (EPA Only)

USEPA		Date(s) of On-site:08/28/2013
Laboratory:	Hygeia Laboratories, Inc.	
Address:	82 West Sierra Boulevard	
	Sierra Madre, CA 91024	
Telephone:	(626) 355-4497	
Laboratory Pe	rsonnel Contacted	
	Name	Title
Arturo Casas		Laboratory Manager/PLM Supervisor
Kyeong Corbin	1	QAO/TEM Supervisor
Evaluation Tea	<u>am</u>	
	Name	Title
Michael Lenka		CB&I Federal Services, LLC (QATS), Senior Auditor
	,	
	_	

1.0	LABORATO	DRY STATUS & CAPABILITIES		Yes	No	Comments
1.1	Which of the	e following capabilities does the laboratory posse	ess:			
1.1	.1 Phase (	Contrast Microscopy (PCM)?				
1.1		ed Light Microscopy (PLM)?				
1.1		ission Electron Microscopy (TEM)?		$\boxtimes$		
1.1		` '				
1.2	Is the labora Operable U	atory currently receiving samples from Libby Sup nits?	erfund Site	$\boxtimes$		Have been receiving samples from Libby since 2002.
If	"YES," com	plete the following table:				
Ope	erable Unit	Matrix/Method(s)		Pı	rojec	t/Comments
	All	ABS/ ISO 10312				
	OU3	Water/ISO 10312			Nor	ne in 2012
	All	Soil/PLM-VE & Grav				
	OU4	Ambient air & Duff/ISO 10312				
]						
2.0	LABORATO	ORY SECURITY		Yes	No	Comments
2.1	Are visitors	required to sign in?			П	
		ances to the laboratory secured?			H	
2.2						
	tional Comm	•				
		•				
		-				
	tional Comm	-		Yes	No	Comments
Addit	PROJECT I	nents:	ment team to	Yes	No 🗆	Comments  Kyeong Corbin
3.0 3.1	PROJECT Are there do ensure sam	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communic				
3.0 3.1 3.2	PROJECT I Are there de ensure sam Are project-laboratory s	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicatifi:				Kyeong Corbin
3.0 3.1 3.2	PROJECT   Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory seed to the seed to t	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communic taff: especific SOPs?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where
3.0 3.1 3.2	PROJECT I  Are there de ensure sam  Are project-laboratory seed. 1 Project-1.2 Laborate	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicatifi:				Kyeong Corbin
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT   Are there de ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Laborate   2.3 SAP An ended   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT  esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communication taff: specific SOPs? ory Modifications? salytical Summaries? specific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT   Are there de ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Laborate   2.3 SAP An ended   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-laborate   2.8 Project-laborate   2.9 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.1 Project-laborate   2.2 Project-laborate   2.3 Project-laborate   2.4 Project-laborate   2.5 Project-laborate   2.6 Project-laborate   2.7 Project-	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel
3.0 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2	PROJECT I Are there do ensure sam Are project-laboratory selected and	INITIATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT esignated project managers or a project manager ples received are properly processed? specific requirements and procedures communicateff: especific SOPs? ory Modifications? ealytical Summaries? especific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? eist)?				Kyeong Corbin  Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel

4.0 SAMPLE RECEIPT, LOG-IN, STO	DRAGE, & TRACKING	Yes	No	Comments
4.1 Is the sample receiving area adeq	uate, clean, and orderly?	NA	NA	
Personnel Interviewed				
Name	Title			Experience
4.2 Sample Receipt				
4.2.1 Is there a sample custodian at sample receipt and log-in?	nd designated alternate responsible for	NA	NA	
4.2.2 Is the custodian or alternate a any time delivery services are	vailable to receive and log-in samples at operating?	NA	NA	
	rs opened in a HEPA hood (as ersonal exposure and safeguard against	NA	NA	
4.2.4 Does the sample custodian ve inspecting shipments and revi	erify and record the following when ewing documentation:			
<ul> <li>4.2.4.3 Presence or absence of a</li> <li>4.2.4.4 Sample condition?</li> <li>4.2.4.5 Presence of packaging or compromise samples (i.e.</li> <li>4.2.4.6 Problems/discrepancies b</li> </ul>	hain-of-Custody (COC) records?	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	
requests, etc.?	ived concretch O	NA	NA	
4.2.4.7 Bulk and air samples rece 4.2.5 Are COC records signed and	dated at the time of sample receipt?	NA NA	NA NA	
	laboratory personnel are made aware of	INA	INA	
project specific requirements?		NA	NA	
4.2.7 Is a system in place to contact documentation, or discrepance	t the client in case of absent les between COCs, client requests, etc.?	NA	NA	
4.2.8 Are subsequent resolutions to documented?	problems and discrepancies	NA	NA	
4.3 Sample Identification				
	on logbooks, or a LIMS, used to log-in boratory identification numbers?	NA	NA	
	ng system serve as a direct cross- tory ID numbers and client ID numbers?	NA	NA	
Additional Comments:				

4.0 SAMPLE RECEIPT, LOG-IN, STORAG	E, & TRACKING	Yes	No	Comments
4.4 Sample Storage				
4.4.1 Are storage facilities sufficient?		NA	NA	
4.4.2 Is the sample storage area secured personnel?	to prevent entry of unauthorized	NA	NA	
4.4.3 Is a logbook or other means used to	record sample locations?	NA	NA	
4.4.4 Are samples easy to locate from log	gbook references?	NA	NA	
4.5 Sample Tracking				
4.5.1 Is a system in place to keep track o storage, sample preparation, and a		NA	NA	
4.5.2 Are the retention and/or disposal of prepared samples documented?	unused portions of samples and	NA	NA	
4.5.2.1 Are project-specific retention ar communicated and followed?		NA	NA	
4.6 Standard Operating Procedures (SOF	·			
4.6.1 Are the applicable laboratory SOPs laboratory personnel (list)?	available and followed by	$\boxtimes$		All on the network, no more hard copies.
Document Title	Control No.			Description
		ļ		_
4.7 Document Control:		Yes	No	Comments
4.7.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list		NA	NA	
Document Title	Descrip	tion/C	omm	ents
Additional Comments:				

5.0 PHASE CONTRAST MICROSCOPY (PO	CM)	Yes	No	Comments
5.1 Does the laboratory perform PCM analys Libby Superfund site?  If answered "No" precede to Section 0	·		$\boxtimes$	
<b>5.2</b> Is the PCM area adequate, clean, and or			$\Box$	
5.3 Are steps taken to prevent the cross-con and reagents?	·			
Personnel Interviewed				
Name	Title			Experience
5.4 Methods and Guidance Documents		Yes	No	Comments
5.4.1 Are the applicable guidance docume	nts available for reference:			
5.4.1.1 NIOSH Method 7400 (Issue 2), 7 5.4.1.2 Other (list)?	1994?			
5.4.2 Are project-specific requirements conpersonnel and available for reference 5.4.2.1 Laboratory Modification LB-0000	e:			
5.4.2.2 SOP EPA-Libby-08? 5.4.2.3 SAP Analytical Summaries? 5.4.2.4 Project-specific Electronic Data   5.4.2.5 Other (list)?				
5.4.2.5 Other (list)?  5.5 Equipment			Ш	
5.5.1 Ventilation Hoods:				
5.5.1.1 Checked routinely and recorded	· •			
5.5.2 Are the microscopes used to analyze following:	e samples equipped with the			
5.5.2.1 Positive phase contrast, with gre 5.5.2.2 Adjustable field iris? 5.5.2.3 Eyepiece (8 to 10X)? 5.5.2.4 Phase magnification (40 to 45X) 5.5.2.5 Walton-Beckett Graticule? 5.5.2.6 Stage micrometer with 0.01 mm	?			
5.5.3 Are microscope and phase ring align	·			
5.5.4 Is resolution periodically checked us	9	Ш	Ш	
5.5.5 Are maintenance and calibration acti specific logbooks?	vities recorded in microscope-			
Additional Comments:				

5.0 PHASE CONTRAST MICROSCOP	PY (PCM)	Yes	No	Comments
5.6 Sample Preparation				
5.6.1 Are filters prepared as describe	ed in the applicable method(s)?			
5.6.2 Are filters visibly overloaded (> indirectly as described in SOP	25%) or contain loose debris prepared EPA-Libby-08?			
5.7 Sample Analysis				
5.7.1 Are the appropriate counting ru	ules used (A or B)?			
5.7.2 How are the fields and fibers tr	acked and recorded?			
5.8 Quality Control				
5.8.1 Is each analyst provided a min day?	imum of one reference slide per work			
5.8.2 Are recounts analyzed at a free	quency of 1 per 10 samples analyzed?			
5.8.2.1 For count pairs not within a samples recounted?	acceptance limits are associated			
5.9 Standard Operating Procedures	(SOPs)			
5.9.1 Are the applicable laboratory S laboratory personnel (list)?	OPs available and followed by			
Document Title	Control No.		•	Description
5.10 Document Control		Yes	No	Comments
5.10.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, for legible, accurate, and complete				
Document Title	Descriptio	n/Com	men	ts
Additional Comments:				

6.0 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICRO PREPARATION	SCOPY (TEM) GRID	Yes	No	Comments
<b>6.1</b> Are the grid preparation areas adequate, clean, and orderly?		NA	NA	
<b>6.2</b> Are bulk samples prepared in an area sair and dust samples?	separate from that used to prepare	NA	NA	
6.3 Are steps taken to prevent the cross-co and reagents?	ontamination of equipment, supplies,	$\boxtimes$		
Personnel Interviewed				
Name	Title			Experience
Kyeong Corbin	QAO/TEM Supervisor			30+ Years
0.4. Euriperant 0.0 complian		V	NI -	2
<b>6.4 Equipment &amp; Supplies</b> 6.4.1 Ventilation Hoods:		Yes	No	Comments
6.4.1 Verillation noods.				
6.4.1.1 Checked routinely and recorde	d in a permanent logbook?	NA	NA	
6.4.2 Drying oven:				
6.4.2.1 Checked routinely and recorde	d in a permanent logbook?	NA	NA	
Note: Desiccator is an option for	or indirect preparation.			
6.4.3 Muffle furnace:				
6.4.3.1 Checked routinely and recorde	d in a permanent logbook?	NA	NA	
6.4.4 Analytical balances:				
6.4.4.1 Checked routinely and recorde 6.4.4.2 Calibrated within the last 12 me		$\boxtimes$		
6.4.5 Plasma Asher:				
6.4.5.1 Calibrated at least quarterly an	d recorded in a permanent logbook?	NA	NA	Calibrated monthly to 10%.
Refer to Request for Modificati	on LB-000085A			
6.4.6 Sputter Coater (Vacuum evaporato	or):			
6.4.6.1 Checked routinely and recorde	d in a permanent logbook?	NA	NA	
6.4.7 Filtration Apparatus (for indirect pre	eparation):			
6.4.7.1 Are disposable or glass funnels	s used (record catalogue #)?	$\boxtimes$	П	25mm Environmental Express (catalogue #F1500).
6.4.7.2 Has the Effective Filtration Are recorded for each apparatus?				Average of 5 measurements.
6.4.8 TEM Grids:				
6.4.8.1 Is documentation for average of	grid opening determination available?	NA	NA	
Additional Comments:	grid opening determination available:	INA	INA	
Additional Comments.				

6.0 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) GRID PREPARATION	Yes	No	Comments
6.5 Direct and Indirect Preparation Methodology			
6.5.1 What method(s) does the laboratory use to prepare air and dust samples for TEM analysis:			
<ul> <li>6.5.1.1 40 CFR, Chapter 1, Part 763, Subpart E - AHERA?</li> <li>6.5.1.2 ISO 10312:1195 E - Determination of Asbestos Fibers?</li> <li>6.5.1.3 ASTM D 5755-09 - Micro vacuum Sampling and Indirect Analysis of Dust by TEM?</li> <li>6.5.1.4 Others (list)?</li> </ul>			
6.5.2 Are project-specific requirements communicated to laboratory personnel and available for reference:			
<ul><li>6.5.2.1 Laboratory Modifications?</li><li>6.5.2.2 Project-specific SOPs?</li><li>6.5.2.3 SAP Analytical Summaries?</li><li>6.5.2.4 Other (list)?</li></ul>		=	Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel have access.
6.6 Sample Inspection			
6.6.1 Are air filter cassettes carefully wet-wiped prior to being transferred to the clean preparation area for inspection?	NA	NA	
6.6.2 Are air filter samples which are visibly overloaded, exhibit uneven loading, or contain loose debris, prepared indirectly?	NA	NA	
Refer to Laboratory Modifications LB-000016H & LB-000031G			
6.6.3 Are all ambient air samples dried upon receipt at the on-site laboratory (i.e., EMSL-Libby) prior to preparation and analysis?	NA	NA	
Refer to Laboratory Modification LB-000055A			
6.7 Direct Preparation of MCE and Polycarbonate Filters			
6.7.1 Are MCE filters collapsed using either a Di-Methyl Formamide (DMF) or acetone atmosphere (AA) technique (describe technique)?	NA	NA	
The use of an acetone vaporizer ("hot block") is not advised due to the formation of wind rows and tilted fibers.			
6.7.2 Is plasma etching performed on collapsed MCE filters?	NA	NA	
6.7.2.1 Is a 5 to10% layer of the collapsed surface removed during etching?	NA	NA	
6.7.3 Are collapsed MCE filters and secured polycarbonate filters transferred to a vacuum evaporator for carbon coating?	NA	NA	
6.7.4 Are excised filter sections placed on the appropriately labeled TEM grids and cleared using a Jaffe Washer or an equivalent technique (describe)?	NA	NA	
6.7.5 Are samples checked for remaining filter residue after clearing?	NA	NA	
6.7.5.1 If residue remains, is condensation washing or an equivalent technique used (describe technique)?	NA	NA	
Additional Comments:			

6.0 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) GRID PREPARATION	Yes	No	Comments
6.8 Indirect Sample Preparation of Air and Dust Samples			
6.8.1 Are the applicable Libby guidance documents available for reference:			
6.8.1.1 SOP EPA-Libby-08 – Indirect Preparation of Air and Dust Sample for TEM Analysis?	NA	NA	
6.8.2 Sample filtration:			
6.8.3 Are the applicable SAP Analytical Summaries reviewed to determine the whether or not filter samples must be ashed?	NA	NA	
6.8.3.1 Are cassettes examined for loose material?	NA	NA	
<ul><li>6.8.3.1.1 If loose material or uneven loading is not evident, is a portion of the air samples retained?</li><li>6.8.3.1.2 If loose material is evident, is the loose material filtered along with the air filter?</li></ul>	NA NA	NA NA	
6.8.3.2 Ashing (if applicable):			
<ul><li>6.8.3.2.1 Are filters covered with aluminum foil and placed in a plasma asher?</li><li>6.8.3.2.2 Is the plasma asher operated at minimum power?</li><li>6.8.3.2.3 Is 100% ashing confirmed by visual observation?</li></ul>	NA NA NA	NA NA NA	
6.8.3.3 Are air filters, loose material, dust, or ash, rinsed into a beaker and brought to a final volume of 100 mL with particle-free water?	NA	NA	
<ul><li>6.8.3.3.1 Adjusted to a pH of 3-4 with a 10% solution of glacial acetic acid?</li><li>6.8.3.3.2 Sonicated for 3 minutes and allowed to settle for 2 minutes prior to filtering?</li></ul>	NA NA	NA NA	
6.8.3.4 Are the appropriate aliquots of filtrate passed through a <u>disposable</u> 25 mm filter assembly with a 0.2 μm MCE filter with a 5.0 μm MCE support pad?	NA	NA	
6.8.4 Are serial dilutions performed as necessary?	NA	NA	
6.8.5 Are TEM grids prepared as described in Section 6.7 of this checklist?	NA	NA	

3019-11152013-5 Page 21 of 39

#### LIBBY-SPECIFIC ASBESTOS LABORATORY ON-SITE AUDIT CHECKLIST

**USEPA** Date(s) of On-site: 08/28/2013

6.0 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) GRID PREPARATION	Yes	No	Comments
6.9 Water Sample Preparation			
6.9.1 What method(s) does the laboratory use to prepare water samples for TEM analysis:			
<ul> <li>6.9.1.1 EPA Method 100.2 - Determination of Asbestos Structures Over 10 µm in Length in Drinking Water?</li> <li>6.9.1.2 EPA Method 100.1 - Determination of Asbestos Fibers Drinking Water?</li> </ul>	NA NA	NA NA	
6.9.1.3 Others (describe)?	NA	NA	
6.9.2 Are samples received and filtered by the laboratory within 48 hours of collection?	NA	NA	
6.9.2.1 If not, are they stored in a refrigerator until filtered?	NA	NA	
6.9.3 Laboratory Modification LB-000020A: 6.9.3.1 Do samples undergo treatment with ozone/UV light? 6.9.3.2 Are samples hand-agitated and sonicated?  Refer to Section 6.2 of EPA Method 100.1			Ozone/UV light equipment are not available, and samples would need to be treated and filtered in Libby, MT.
6.9.4 Are the appropriate aliquots of the original sample poured though a 25 mm or 47 mm MCE filter (0.22 μm or smaller pore size) with an MCE filter (5 μm pore size) backing pad?	NA	NA	
Note: No less than 1 mL must be used as an aliquot.	NIA	NIA	
6.9.5 Are TEM grids prepared as described in Section 6.7 of this checklist?  Additional Comments:	NA	NA	

TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) GRID PREPARATION	Yes	No	Comments
.10 OU3 Tree Bark Sample Preparation			
6.10.1 Are the applicable Libby guidance documents available for reference:			
6.10.1.1 EPA-Libby-2012-12 – Sampling and Analysis of Tree Bark for Asbestos?	NA	NA	
6.10.2 Drying and Ashing:			
<ul><li>6.10.2.1 Are the diameter and thickness of the tree bark samples measured and recorded to an accuracy of ± 2mm?</li><li>6.10.2.2 Is the entire tree bark sample weighed and placed in an oven for</li></ul>	NA	NA	
drying?	NA	NA	
6.10.2.2.1 Dried at 80° C until the weight stabilizes, a minimum of 6 hours, and weighed?	NA	NA	
6.10.2.3 Is the bark sample then covered and placed in a muffle furnace at 450° C for 18 hours, or until all organic matter has been removed, and weighed?	NA	NA	
6.10.2.3.1 Is the furnace ramped from 0° F to 450° C?	NA	NA	
5.10.3 Acid Treatment:			
6.10.3.1 After adding approximately 1-2 mL of DI water, is 10-20 of concentrated HCL added until no further reaction is visible (approx.			
3-5 minutes)? 6.10.3.2 Are samples diluted, transferred to a 100 mL container (with lid)	NA	NA	
and brought to a final volume of 100 mL with fiber-free DI water?	NA	NA	
6.10.3.3 Are samples capped, inverted 5-6 times, and sonicated for 2 minutes in preparation for filtering?	NA	NA	
6.10.4 Filtration:			
6.10.4.1 Are 5-20 mLs of solution transferred to a second container and brought to a volume of 100 mL with fiber-free DI water?	NA	NA	
6.10.4.2 Are dilutions agitated (inverted 5-6 times) and filtered through a 47 mm MCE filter (0.45 µm pore size)?	NA	NA	
6.10.4.2.1 Are additional dilutions prepared if the loading on the filter appears either too heavy (> 20%) or too light?	NA	NA	
6.10.5 Are TEM grids prepared as described in Section 6.7 of this checklist?	NA	NA	

6.11 OU3 Duff Sample Preparation			Comments
C.4.4. And the continuous library avidence decreases available for reference.			
6.11.1 Are the applicable Libby guidance documents available for reference:			
6.11.1.1 EPA-Libby-2012-11 – Sampling and Analysis of Duff for Asbestos?	NA	NA	
6.11.2 Drying and Ashing:			
6.11.2.1 Are the appropriate number of aluminum trays weighed and tared?	NA	NA	
6.11.2.1.1 For tracking purposes, is each tray marked with a unique number?	NA	NA	
<ul> <li>6.11.2.2 Are trays filled to approximately ¾, dried at 60° C until the weight stabilizes a minimum of 10 hours, and weighed?</li> <li>6.11.2.3 Are dried duff samples transferred to covered pans and placed in a</li> </ul>	NA	NA	
, 3	NA NA	NA NA	
6.11.2.4.1 If an individual sample was split between multiple trays, was it combined into one Zip-lock bag?	NA	NA	
6.11.3 Acid Treatment:			
6.11.3.1 After adding approximately 1-2 mL of DI water to 0.25 grams (measured to ± 0.01 g) of ashed sample, is 10-20 mL of concentrated HCL added until no further reaction is visible (approx. 3-5 minutes)?	NA	NA	
6.11.3.2 Are samples diluted, transferred to a 100 mL container (with lid) and brought to a final volume of 100 mL with fiber-free DI water?	NA	NA	
6.11.3.3 Are sample capped, inverted 5-6 times, and sonicated for 2 minutes in preparation for filtering?	NA	NA	
6.11.4 Filtration:			
6.11.4.1 Is 0.1 to 1.0 mL of solution transferred to a second container and brought to a volume of 100 mL with fiber-free DI water?	NA	NA	
6.11.4.2 Are dilutions agitated (inverted 5-6 times) and filtered through a 47 mm MCE filter (0.45 µm pore size)?	NA	NA	
6.11.4.2.1 Are additional dilutions prepared if the loading on the filter appears either too heavy (> 20%) or too light?	NA	NA	
6.11.5 Are TEM grids prepared as described in Section 6.7 of this checklist?	NA	NA	

6.0 TRANSMISSION ELECTRON MICROSCOPY (TEM) GRID PREPARATION			No	Comments
6.12 Grid Preparation/filtrate Storage				
6.12.1 For indirect preparations, are remaining filtrates filtered onto the appropriate filter(s) to be archived?			NA	
6.12.2 Are all remaining filters and filter po	ortions labeled prior to archiving?	NA	NA	
6.12.3 Are grids stored in marked grid sto containers and stored in a dust/fibe		NA	NA	
6.12.4 Is the location of grid preparation recan be retrieved upon request in a		NA	NA	
6.13 Quality Control Samples				
6.13.1 Are quality control samples prepare	ed at the described frequency:			
6.13.1.1 Are laboratory blanks (LB) pre- each preparation batch, which 6.13.1.2 Are re-preparations prepared a	ever is more frequent? at a frequency of 1%?	NA NA	NA NA	
6.14 Standard Operating Procedures (SO	-			
6.14.1 Are the applicable laboratory SOPs personnel (list)?		NA	NA	
Document Title	Control No.			Description
				_
6.15 Document Control		Yes	No	Comments
6.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms legible, accurate, and complete (lis		NA	NA	
Document Title	Descript	ion/C	omm	ents
Additional Comments:				

7.0 TEM ANALYSIS				Yes	No	Comments	
7.1 Are TEM areas a	idequate, clean, and c	orderly?		NA	NA		
<b>7.2</b> Are steps taken t and reagents?	to prevent the cross-c	ontamination of equipn	nent, supplies,	NA	NA		
Personnel Interviewe	d						
Name		Title				Experience	
7.3 Methods and Gu	uidance Documents			Yes	No	Comments	
7.3.1 What method	d(s) does the laborato	ry use to analyze samp	oles TEM:				
7.3.1.1 40 CFR,	Chapter 1, Part 763,	Subpart F (AHERA)?		NA	NA		
		ation of Asbestos Fiber	rs?	NA	NA		
		um Sampling and Indire	ect Analysis of	N 1 A	N 1 A		
Dust by 7.3.1.4 EPA Met		ation of Asbestos Struc	ctures Over	NA	NA		
	Length in Drinking W		ciaics over	NA	NA		
7.3.1.5 Others (I				NA	NA		
		communicated to labora	atory				
personnei ar	nd available for referer	ice:					
	ory Modifications?			$\boxtimes$		Available in the eRoom where	
	specific SOPs?					all applicable Hygeia personnel	
	alytical Summaries?	a Deliverables (EDDs)	?		H	have access.	
7.3.2.5 Other (lis					$\boxtimes$		
7.4 TEM Instrument	tation						
7.4.1 Does TEM in	strumentation meet th	ne following requiremen	nts:				
7.4.1.1 Capable	of hoing approated at I	petween 80 and 120 k\	./2	NA	NA		
		nergy dispersive X-ray		INA	INA		
capabiliti	es?		,	NA	NA		
		cribed or overlaid calib		NA	NA		
7.4.2 Are the instru		thin film or beryllium w	vindows (list	NA	NA		
		intenance activities rec	orded in	INA	INA		
	pecific logbooks?	interiarioe activities rec	orded in	NA	NA		
Instrument No.	Make	Mode	el		Capabilities		
N/A	Hitachi	H-600	0			Beryllium	
<b>Additional Comments</b>	:						

.0 TEM ANALYSIS	Yes	No	Comments
7.5 Instrument Calibration (Laboratory Modification LB-00085A)			
7.5.1 Is microscope alignment performed <u>daily</u> :			
7.5.1.1 Centering of electron beam?	NA	NA	
7.5.1.2 Electron beam is properly stigmated on either side of crossover?	NA	NA	
7.5.1.3 Image properly focused?	NA	NA	
7.5.2 Is the TEM screen magnification calibrated <u>monthly</u> ?	NA	NA	
7.5.3 Is the camera constant calibrated <u>monthly</u> ?	NA	NA	
7.5.4 Is the spot size diameter determined to be less than 250 nm <u>quarterly</u> ?	NA	NA	
7.5.5 Is the low beam dose (>= 15 seconds for Chrysotile) verified <u>quarterly</u> ?	NA	NA	
7.5.6 EDXA System:			
7.5.6.1 Is X-ray energy versus channel for two peaks (i.e., Cu/Al) checked			
daily?	NA	NA	
7.5.6.2 Is detector resolution (Mn) checked quarterly?	NA	NA	
7.5.6.3 Are K-factors relative to Si determined for Na, Mg, Al, Ca, and Fe			
<u>quarterly</u> ?	NA	NA	
7.5.7 Are instrument calibration records maintained in instrument-specific			
logbooks?	NA	NA	
7.6 Reference Materials			
7.6.1 Does the laboratory maintain a library of reference materials on			
asbestos and other fiber types?	NA	NA	
7.6.2 Are instrument-specific "LA" spectra available, posted near the TEM?	NA	NA	
7.7 Grid Acceptance/Rejection Criteria			_
7.7.1 Grid preparation rejection criteria:			
7.7.1.1 The replica is too dark due to poor dissolution?	NA	NA	
7.7.1.2 Replica is doubled or folded?	NA	NA	
7.7.1.3 Replica has > 25% obscuration rejected?	NA	NA	
7.7.1.4 Replica has < 50 intact grid openings?	NA	NA	
Refer to Request for Modifications LB-000016H and LB-000031G			
7.7.2 Are samples associated with grids determined to be overloaded (>25%)			
re-prepped using the indirect-transfer technique described in SOP EPA-			
Libby-08?	NA	NA	

7.0 TEM A	NALYSIS	Yes	No	Comments
7.8 Modific	cations to AHERA & ASTM D5755:			
7.8.1 Lal	boratory Modification LB-000031G:			
7.8.1.1	Are structures classified as fibers (F), bundles (B), clusters (C) or matrices (M)?	NA	NA	
7.8.1.2	Are the actual lengths and widths of fibers, bundles, clusters and matrices (M) recorded?	NA	NA	
7.8.1.3 7.8.1.4	For disperse matrices and clusters, is the length of the longest protruding structure recorded? Unless identified as a "close call" (LB-000066D), are NAMs not	NA	NA	
7.8.1.5	recorded?  Is the designation "ND" used to document when no structures are	NA	NA	
7.8.1.6	detected in a grid opening?  Are fibers, bundles, clusters and matrices only recorded they	NA	NA	
	contain individual constituent fibers meeting the aspect ratio criterion?	NA	NA	
7.8.1.7	Are non-countable recorded, but not counted, for informational purposes?	NA	NA	
7.8.1.8	Is the entire length recorded for structures originating in one grid opening and extending to an adjacent grid opening?	NA	NA	
7.8.2 Lat	boratory Modification LB-000067:			
7.8.2.1	Are the structure identification codes described in Tables D.1 and D.2 of ISO Method 10312 used?	NA	NA	
7.9 Modific	cations to EPA Method 100.2:			
7.9.1 Lal	boratory Modification LB-000020:			
7.9.1.1	Are all applicable analyte structures, including those comprising the LA complex, $\geq 0.5 \mu$ in length with a $\geq$ AR recorded?	NA	NA	
7.9.1.2	Are a maximum of 10 grid openings counted?	NA	NA	
7.9.2 Lal	boratory Modification LB-000067:			
7.9.2.1	Are the structure identification codes described in Tables D.1 and D.2 of ISO Method 10312 used?	NA	NA	

**USEPA** Date(s) of On-site: 08/28/2013

7.0 TEM ANALYSIS	Yes	No	Comments
7.10 Modifications to ISO Method 10312:			
7.10.1 Laboratory Modification LB-000016H:			
7.40.4.4.11.1			
7.10.1.1 Unless identified as a "close call" (LB-000066D), are NAMs recorded?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.2 Are bundles only recorded if they contain individual constituent	INA	INA	
fibers meeting the aspect ratio criterion?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.3 Are bundles, compact clusters, and compact matrices counted			
regardless of aspect ratio? 7.10.1.4 Are structures that intersect non-countable grid bars recorded for	NA	NA	
informational purposes?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.5 Are component structures, which do not intersect non-countable			
grid bars, but are within non-countable structures counted?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.6 Is the entire length recorded for structures originating in one grid opening and extending to an adjacent grid opening?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.7 For structures which intersect more than one grid bar is the	INA	INA	
observed length of the structure recorded?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.8 Are the recorded rules for partially obscured structures properly			
applied (i.e., MFO and MBO)?	NA	NA	
7.10.1.9 Are the counting and recording rules for the identification of PCMe structures at "low magnification" applied?	NA	NA	
7.11 Common TEM Modifications:	14/1	14/1	
7.11.1 Laboratory Modification LB-000030:			
7.11.1.1 Are highly detailed sketches of up to 50 asbestos structures			
provided?	NA	NA	
7.11.2 Laboratory Modification LB-000066D:			
7.44.0.4. In the assessment of addition and actorium assessment for			
7.11.2.1 Is the presence or absence of sodium and potassium recorded for all LA, OA and NAM particles (NaK, NaX, XK or XX)?	NA	NA	
7.11.2.2 Is probable mineral identification code recorded for all particles?	NA	NA	
7.11.2.2.1 Are LA particles identified as WRTA, AC, TR or AT?	NA	NA	
<ul><li>7.11.2.2.2 Are OA particles identified as AM, AN or CR?</li><li>7.11.2.2.3 Are NAMs indicated as PY, OT or UN?</li></ul>	NA NA	NA NA	
1.11.2.2.3 ALE MANNS INCICALEU AS FT, OT UI UN!	INA	INA	
7.11.2.3 Is one SAED pattern recorded for each amphibole asbestos type			
encountered per samples?	NA	NA	
7.11.2.4 Are EDS spectrum (a maximum of 5) collected for up to 5 LA and 5	NIA	NIA	
Close-call NAM per sample?  Additional Comments:	NA	NA	

7.0 TEM ANALYSIS		Yes	No	Comments	
7.12 Counting/stopping rules:					
7.12.1 Are the Analytical Summar	ies reviewed to determine the following:				
7.12.1.1 Analytical Sensitivity?		NA	NA		
7.12.1.2 Recording rules (i.e., A		NA	NA		
7.12.1.3 Stopping rules (i.e., ab	undant CH)?	NA	NA		
7.12.1.4 Applicable Laboratory 7.12.1.5 Investigative or non-inv		NA	NA NA		
•	boratory Modification LB-000029C)	NA			
, , ,	analyzed at the required frequencies:				
	10/0				
7.13.1.1 Laboratory blanks – Fr	equency 4%?	NA	NA		
7.13.1.2 Recount Same (RS) - I 7.13.1.3 Recount Different (RD)		NA NA	NA NA		
	Inter-laboratory - Frequency of 0.5%?				
7.13.1.5 Verified Analysis (VA) - Frequency of 1%?			NA NA		
7.13.1.6 Re-preparations – Fred		NA NA	NA		
7.13.2 Are samples selected for R	S, RD and VA analyses in accordance with				
Laboratory Modification LB	-000029C?	NA	NA		
7.13.3 Is the procedure used to every with Laboratory Modification	/aluate QC sample analyses in accordance n LB-000029C?	NA NA			
7.14 Standard Operating Procedu	res (SOPs)				
7.14.1 Are the applicable laborato personnel (list)?	ry SOPs available and followed by laboratory	NA NA			
Document Title	Control No.	Description			
TEM QAM				-	
7.15 Document Control		Yes	No	Comments	
7.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebook	s, forms, or other laboratory documents				
legible, accurate, and com	plete (list)?	NA	NA		
Document Title	Description/	Comr	nents	S	
Additional Comments:					

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	3.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)		No	Comments
8.1 Are PLM areas adequate, clean, and orderly	8.1 Are PLM areas adequate, clean, and orderly?			
<b>8.2</b> Are steps taken to prevent the cross-contan and reagents?	nination of equipment, supplies,	$\boxtimes$		
Personnel Interviewed				
Name	Title			Experience
Arturo Casas	Laboratory Manager/PLM A	nalyst		33 Years
8.3 Methods and Guidance Documents		Yes	No	Comments
8.3.1 Are the applicable guidance documents	available for reference:			
8.3.1.1 EPA SOP SRC-Libby-01? 8.3.1.2 EPA SOP SRC-Libby-03? 8.3.1.3 NIOSH 9002, Issue 2 - Asbestos (B 8.3.1.4 Others (list)?	Bulk) by PLM?	$\boxtimes\boxtimes\boxtimes$		
8.3.2 Are project-specific requirements comm personnel and available for reference:	nunicated to laboratory			
8.3.2.1 Laboratory Modifications? 8.3.2.2 Project-specific SOPs? 8.3.2.3 SAP Analytical Summaries? 8.3.2.4 Project-specific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? 8.3.2.5 Other (list)?				Available in the eRoom where all applicable Hygeia personnel have access.
8.4 Equipment				
8.4.1 Ventilation Hoods:				
8.4.1.1 Checked routinely and recorded in a permanent logbook?				
8.4.2 Drying oven (optional):				Muffle furnace calibrated to low
8.4.2.1 Checked routinely and recorded in	a permanent logbook?		$\boxtimes$	temperature.
8.4.3 Muffle furnace:				
8.4.3.1 Checked routinely and recorded in	a permanent logbook?	$\boxtimes$	П	
Additional Comments:	, ,			

8.0 POLARIZED LIGH	HT MICROSCOPY (PLM)		Yes	No	Comments
8.4.4 Analytical bala	inces:				
8.4.4.1 Two balar	ices:				
8.4.4.1.1 Accura 8.4.4.1.2 Accura	te to 0.01 g, range of 0.0 te to 1 mg?	1 to 1000 g?			
	routinely and recorded in a within the last 12 months	a permanent logbook? s by a certified technician?			
8.5 Stereomicroscop	е				
	oscopes meet the following	-			
	tion range of 10X to 50X? ent or fluorescent light so		$\boxtimes$		
8.6 Polarized Light N	licroscope				
8.6.1 Are PLMs equ	ipped with the following:				
8.6.1.1 Light source and replacement bulbs? 8.6.1.2 Binocular observation tube? 8.6.1.3 Blue daylight filter? 8.6.1.4 Oculars (10X)? 8.6.1.5 Objectives: 10X, 20X and 40X (or similar)? 8.6.1.6 10X dispersion staining objective? 8.6.1.7 A 360 degree graduated rotating stage? 8.6.1.8 Polarizer and analyzer aligned at 90 degrees to one another? 8.6.1.9 Bertrand lens? 8.6.1.10 Substage condenser with iris diaphragm? 8.6.1.11 Accessory slot for compensator plate? 8.6.1.12 First order red (550 nanometer) compensator plate? 8.6.1.13 Crosshair reticle? 8.6.1.14 Adjustment tools?  8.6.2 Are microscopes well-maintained, and are all routine and non-routine maintenance activities recorded in instrument-specific logbooks?					
Instrument No.	Make	Model			Capabilities
Station 1	Olympus	BH-2			
Station 2	Nikon	Labophot			
		·			
Additional Comments: Eight PLMs are available	e, but only the two listed a	re currently used.			

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	Yes	No	Comments
8.7 Refractive Index Liquids			
8.7.1 What refractive index liquids are available:			
<ul><li>8.7.1.1 High dispersion RI liquids from 1.620 to 1.640?</li><li>8.7.1.2 1.550 high dispersion RI liquid?</li><li>8.7.1.3 1.680 to 1.700 RI liquids?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes\boxtimes\boxtimes$		1.625
8.7.2 Are refractive index liquids checked daily for contamination?			Fiber glass is used to check RIs, tools, etc.
8.7.3 Are refractive index (RI) liquids calibrated monthly using a refractometer or other means (describe)?	$\boxtimes$		
8.8 Reference Materials			
8.8.1 Does the laboratory maintain a library of asbestos and non-asbestos reference materials:			
8.8.1.1 NIST SRM 1866b (Ch, Am and Cr)? 8.8.1.2 NIST SRM 1867a (Tr, Ac, and An)? 8.8.1.3 USGS LA PEs:	$\boxtimes\boxtimes\boxtimes$		
8.8.1.3.1 LA 0.2% by mass? 8.8.1.3.2 LA 1.0% by mass? 8.8.1.3.3 Other (List)?	$\boxtimes \boxtimes$		2% LA.
<ul> <li>8.8.1.4 Controlled LA asbestos (USGS)?</li> <li>8.8.1.5 NIST testing round M12001 (winchite/richterite)?</li> <li>8.8.1.6 Non-asbestos (i.e., gypsum, calcite, and fiberglass)?</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$		
8.9 PLM Calibration	Yes	No	Comments
8.9.1 Is PLM alignment performed daily:			
<ul> <li>8.9.1.1 Alignment?</li> <li>8.9.1.2 Stage and objectives centered?</li> <li>8.9.1.3 Optic axis centered?</li> <li>8.9.1.4 Alignment of the upper/lower polars?</li> <li>8.9.1.5 Centered through substage condenser and iris diaphragm?</li> </ul>			
8.9.2 Microscope adjustments verified and recorded prior to sample analyses?	$\boxtimes$		
Additional Comments:		ш	

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	Yes	No	Comments
8.10 PLM Analysis by NIOSH Method 9002:			
8.10.1 Does the laboratory perform PLM analyses on samples received from the Libby Superfund site?		$\boxtimes$	
If answered "No" precede to Section 8.11 of the checklist.			
8.10.2 Are samples visually examined by stereomicroscope for the following:			
8.10.2.1 Color? 8.10.2.2 Homogeneity? 8.10.2.3 Texture?			
8.10.3 Which of the following techniques are used to prepare samples for analysis:			
8.10.3.1 Mortar & pestle? 8.10.3.2 Acid washing? 8.10.3.3 Ashing? 8.10.3.4 Solvents? 8.10.3.5 Other (list)?			
8.10.4 For non-friable, organically bound samples requiring ashing and/or acid reduction, are all necessary weights and tare weights measured and recorded?			
8.10.5 Are slides prepared using the appropriate refractive index liquid(s) and scanned for asbestos fibers using the following optical properties:			
<ul> <li>8.10.5.1 Morphology?</li> <li>8.10.5.2 Color?</li> <li>8.10.5.3 Refractive indices?</li> <li>8.10.5.4 Pleochroism?</li> <li>8.10.5.5 Birefringence?</li> <li>8.10.5.6 Extinction characteristics?</li> <li>8.10.5.7 Sign of elongation?</li> <li>8.10.5.8 Dispersion staining characteristics?</li> </ul>			
8.10.6 Are the observed optical properties compared to Table 1 (Optical Properties of Asbestos Fibers) to determine the asbestos mineral present?			
8.10.7 Is a quantitative assessment of asbestos content made from both the gross and microscopic examinations?			
8.10.8 If no fibers are detected in a homogeneous samples are at least two additional slides prepared and analyzed prior to concluding no asbestos is present?			
8.10.9 Is at least one optical property recorded for fibers determined to be non-asbestos fibers?			
Additional Comments:			

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	Yes	No	Comments
8.11 PLM-VE (SOP SRC-Libby-03)			
8.11.1 Stereomicroscopic Examination:			
8.11.1.1 Are all sample preparation activities performed within a HEPA-filtered hood?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.1.2 Is the entire sample transferred to an asbestos-free substrate for examination?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.1.3 Is the entire sample examined for homogeneity and the presence of suspect fibers?	$\boxtimes$		A petri dish is used.
8.11.1.4 Are suspect fibers removed with fine forceps and mounted in the appropriate RI liquid for PLM analysis?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.1.5 Are the stereomicroscopic findings recorded:			
<ul><li>8.11.1.5.1 Sample appearance?</li><li>8.11.1.5.2 Estimated percentage of LA?</li><li>8.11.1.5.3 Estimated percentage of other asbestos types?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes\boxtimes\boxtimes$		
8.11.2 Determination of Ashing the Sample:			
8.11.2.1 Are soil sample containing a significant amount of artifacts ashed prior to being prepared for random PLM mounts?	NA	NA	
8.11.2.1.1 Are samples ashed in a muffle furnace at approximately 480°C? 8.11.2.1.2 Are the necessary gravimetric measurements recorded for the determination of "Pre-ash percent asbestos"?	NA NA	NA NA	None observed to-date.
8.11.3 Slide Preparation for PLM-VE:			
8.11.3.1 Are a minimum of five random sub-samples mounted in the appropriate RI liquid (1.620-1.640) for measurement of LA optical properties?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.4 Supplemental Stereomicroscopic Evaluation:			
8.11.4.1 Following the random slide mount preparation, is the container agitated to cause the particulate to settle and asbestos fibers sort to the surface?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.4.2 Is the sample re-examined and the fiber pick procedure repeated?	$\boxtimes$		
Additional Comments:			

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	Yes	No	Comments
8.11.5 Classification of Asbestos Mineral Type:			
8.11.5.1 Using PLM is entire area of each prepared slide examined for asbestos, non-asbestos and matrix material?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.5.2 Is positive identification determined from the following six optical properties:			Refer to finding No. 2 in the
8.11.5.2.1 Habit? 8.11.5.2.2 Color & pleochroism (if present)? 8.11.5.2.3 Both alpha and gamma Refractive indices? 8.11.5.2.4 Birefringence? 8.11.5.2.5 Extinction angle? 8.11.5.2.6 Sign of elongation (positive-slow or negative fast)?		$\boxtimes \Box \Box \Box \Box \Box$	Audit Report.
8.11.5.3 Based on the optical properties, is asbestos classified into one of three categories:			
8.11.5.3.1 Libby Amphibole (LA)? 8.11.5.3.2 Other Amphibole (OA)? 8.11.5.3.3 Chrysotile (CH)?	$\boxtimes\boxtimes\boxtimes$		
8.11.5.4 Is at least one optical property recorded for observed non-asbestos fibers?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.6 Quantification of Asbestos Content:			
8.11.6.1 Is asbestos reported as either mass or area percent for LA?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.6.2 Are other, non-LA, asbestos types reported in area percent?		$\boxtimes$	Refer to finding No. 2 in the Audit Report.
8.11.6.3 Are reference materials used to aid in visual estimation:			
8.11.6.3.1 LA PE reference materials (0.2% or 1.0%)? 8.11.6.3.2 Are visual estimates of greater than 1% LA performed using calibration standards made in-house from NIST SRMs and NIST PEs?			Prepares fresh reference slides, as necessary.
8.11.7 Are calibrated visual estimates determined from both the detailed stereomicroscopic observations and examination of the total area for all five random slide mounts?	$\boxtimes$		
8.11.8 Are LA results reported in the appropriate bin categories:			
<ul> <li>8.11.8.1 Non-detects recorded as Bin A?</li> <li>8.11.8.2 Less than 0.2% LA recorded as Bin B1?</li> <li>8.11.8.3 Greater than 0.2%, but less than 1% recorded as Bin B2?</li> <li>8.11.8.4 Equal to or greater than 1% recorded as Bin C, with the percentage recorded as a whole number?</li> </ul>			
Additional Comments:			

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM)	Yes	No	Comments
8.12 PLM-GRAV (SOP SRC-Libby-01)			
8.12.1 Stereomicroscopic Examination:			
8.12.2 Is the entire sample weighed and placed in an appropriate container?	$\boxtimes$		
8.12.3 Does the stereomicroscopic examination include:			
<ul> <li>8.12.3.1 Examination of multiple fields of view over the entire sample?</li> <li>8.12.3.2 Probing of the sample and breaking clumps where possible?</li> <li>8.12.3.3 Manipulation of the sample with the appropriate tools?</li> <li>8.12.3.4 Observation homogeneity, texture, friability, color and extent of any asbestos content?</li> </ul>			
8.12.4 Doe the analyst refrain from segregating and weighing particles smaller than 2 - 3 mm (1/10 inch)?	$\boxtimes$		
8.12.5 If no particles larger than 2 – 3 mm or larger are present, are one of the following recorded:			
<ul><li>8.12.5.1 No asbestos detected (ND)?</li><li>8.12.5.2 Trace levels of asbestos observed, but not quantified (Tr)?</li></ul>	$\boxtimes$		
8.12.6 Examination by PLM:			
8.12.7 Are tentatively identified asbestos particles examined by PLM as described in SOP SRC-Libby-03 (Section 8.12 of this checklist)?	$\boxtimes$		
8.12.8 If asbestos particles are determined to be OA, are they further characterized:			
8.12.8.1 Amosite (AMOS)? 8.12.8.2 Anthophylite (ANTH)? 8.12.8.3 Crocidolite (CROC)? 8.12.8.4 Unknown (UNK)?			
8.12.9 Is the total weight of each type of positively identified asbestos measured and recorded?	$\boxtimes$		
8.12.10 Record Keeping:			
8.12.11 Is the data log sheet provided in Attachment 1 of the SOP used to record weights the initial (coarse fraction) and segregated asbestos?	$\boxtimes$		
Additional Comments:			

Page 37 of 39

#### LIBBY-SPECIFIC ASBESTOS LABORATORY ON-SITE AUDIT CHECKLIST

8.0 POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PI	_M)	Yes	No	Comments
8.13 Quality Control Analyses				
8.13.1 Are the following types of QC analys frequencies:	ses performed at the required	Each analyst also analyze SRMs per month.		Each analyst also analyzes four SRMs per month.
<ul><li>8.13.1.1 Laboratory duplicate self-check</li><li>8.13.1.2 Laboratory duplicate cross-chec</li></ul>		Refer to Finding No. 1 in the Audit Report.		Refer to Finding No. 1 in the Audit Report.
8.13.2 For sample containing LA, are LDS acceptable if:	and LDC analyses considered			
8.13.2.1 For LA results, within 1 Bin category? 8.13.2.2 For LA results, %LA ≤1%?		$\boxtimes$		
Note: For LA results greater than 1%, the internal QA/QC system.				
8.13.3 Is the appropriate correction action t do not meet acceptance criteria (des		$\boxtimes$		
8.14 Standard Operating Procedures (SOP	rs)			
8.14.1 Are the applicable laboratory SOPs laboratory personnel (list)?	available and followed by			Refer to Finding Nos. 1 and 2 in the Audit Report.
Document Title	Control No.	Description		
SRC-LIBBY-01	Revision 3			
SRC-LIBBY-03	Revision 3			
8.15 Document Control		Yes	No	Comments
8.15 Document Control  8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)		Yes	No	Comments
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms,		$\boxtimes$		
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)	?	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents
8.15.1 Are all logbooks, notebooks, forms, legible, accurate, and complete (list)  Document Title  PLM Calibration & Contamination Log	? Descrip	⊠ tion/C	omm	nents

USEPA Date(s) of On-site: <u>08/28/2013</u>

9.0 DATA MANAGEMENT	PCM	TEM	PLM	Comments
9.1 Data Package Review and Assembly	Yes	Yes	Yes	
9.1.1 Are deliverables reviewed to ensure project-specific requirements are adhered to:				
9.1.1.1 Request for Modifications to Laboratory Activities? 9.1.1.2 Project-specific SOPs? 9.1.1.3 SAP Analytical Summaries? 9.1.1.4 Project-specific Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)? 9.1.1.5 Other (list)?	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	
9.1.2 Are all deliverables reviewed for completeness and accuracy prior to being submitted:				
<ul><li>9.1.2.1 Hard copy deliverables?</li><li>9.1.2.2 Electronic deliverables?</li></ul>	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
9.1.3 Are all reviews documented?	NA	NA	NA	
9.2 Data Submission				
9.2.1 Is the submittal of electronic deliverables tracked and recorded:				
9.2.1.1 Date submitted? 9.2.1.2 Recipient?	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
9.2.2 Is the submittal of hard copy deliverables tracked and recorded:				
9.2.2.1 Date submitted? 9.2.2.2 Recipient?	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	
9.3 Data Storage and Archiving				
9.2.3 Are electronic files archived onto suitable media on a frequent basis?	NA	NA	NA	
How often? Weekly to tapes				
9.2.4 Are all hardcopy data stored in a secured location with limited access (e.g., locking file cabinet)?	NA	NA	NA	

#### Additional Comments:

10.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	PCM	TEM	PLM	Comments
10.1 Laboratory Certifications	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10.1.1 Is the laboratory accredited for asbestos analysis under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP):				
<ul><li>10.1.1.1 Asbestos Fiber Analysis (TEM Method)?</li><li>10.1.1.2 Asbestos Fiber Analysis (PLM Method)?</li></ul>	NA NA	⊠ NA	NA ⊠	Expires 06/30/2014 Expires 06/30/2014.
10.1.2 Is the laboratory accredited for asbestos analysis under the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA), and does it participate in the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Proficiency Analytical Testing (PAT) Program?	NA	NA	NA	Expires 06/01/2015
10.2 Training				
10.2.1 Have all analysts undergone training on the proper usage of the equipment and instrumentation used in the respective areas?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.2.2 Have all analysts demonstrated proficiency through the preparation and/or analysis of standards or samples of known values?	NA	$\boxtimes$		
10.2.3 Are training records maintained in analyst-specific files?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.3 Internal Audits				
10.3.1 Are internal audits conducted on an annual basis using an appropriate checklist?	NA	NA	NA	
10.3.1.1 Are internal audit reports available for review?	NA	NA	NA	
10.4 Corrective/Preventive Action:				
10.4.1 Can the laboratory demonstrate the sequence of problem identification, corrective action, and resumption of duties?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.5 Quality Records				
10.5.1 Are SOPs available in the applicable areas for all laboratory-specific procedures?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.5.2 Does the laboratory have a Quality Assurance Manual/Plan?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.5.3 Does the laboratory compile monthly quality assurance/quality control reports?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.6 Environmental Controls/Laboratory Monitoring				
10.6.1 Does the laboratory conduct an environmental monitoring program?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.6.2 Is quarterly air monitoring performed in all laboratory areas?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
10.6.2.1 Are the collected samples analyzed by TEM with a target analytical sensitivity of 0.005 structures/cc?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	Air and wipe samples are collected to monito
10.6.2.2 If LA is detected, are the affected areas thoroughly cleaned and a new set of samples collected and analyzed?	NA	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	for potential contamination.
Laboratory Modification LB-000085A				